

*MANSON CREEK  
RESOURCES LTD.*

*2004  
Annual Report*

## ***President's Message***

The immediate future is bright for Manson Creek Resources Ltd. (Manson Creek). Manson Creek is building momentum by increasing exploration activities to take advantage of favorable markets and commodity prices. The Company will increase its profile, to industry and the public at large, as an active and productive exploration company.

The recently completed financing of the Company and the resulting positive working capital position provides Manson Creek with the opportunity to grow in a positive capital market environment.

The upcoming year will see activity that builds on last year's accomplishments by continuing to advance the CR Project in British Columbia. The CR Project, with a planned summer drill program, will be the cornerstone project. The existing geochemical and geophysical grids will be expanded and infill lines are to be added in order to refine the copper anomalies outlined in the 2004 program. Diamond drilling will examine several new zones of anomalous copper values identified in last year's exploration program and test areas containing historic anomalous copper intercepts.

Manson Creek will continue to review and acquire projects deemed to have potential for significant economic discoveries. Projects being evaluated range from grassroots projects to those further along in the exploration cycle. Based on results, one to two new projects should be added to the company this year. In its search for new projects, Manson Creek is guided by what is practical and economical; the focus will remain, but not be limited to, British Columbia and the Yukon.

While not excluding new and unusual commodities; Manson Creek will remain focused on copper, gold, zinc and associated base and precious metals.

Manson Creek, its board of directors and management team looks forward to expanding current projects and acquiring new opportunities. This will allow the Company to continue to build shareholder value in the coming years.

Respectfully submitted on  
behalf of the Board of Directors

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Regan Chernish". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "Regan" and last name "Chernish" clearly distinguishable.

Regan Chernish  
President

## **MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2004**

---

### **1) Principal Business of the Company**

The Company is engaged exclusively in the business of mineral exploration and development and, as the Company has no mining operations, is considered to be in the development stage.

The recoverability of the amounts comprising mineral properties is dependent upon the existence of economically recoverable mineral reserves; the acquisition and maintenance of appropriate permits, licenses and rights; the ability of the Company to obtain financing to complete the development of the properties where necessary and upon future profitable production; or, alternatively, upon the Company's ability to recover its costs through a disposition of its interests.

The Company has no operating income and no earnings; exploration and operating activities are financed by the sale of common shares. None of the Company's properties are in production. Consequently, the Company's net income is not a meaningful indicator of its performance or potential.

### **2) Mineral Properties**

#### **Yukon**

The Company had been concentrating its exploration efforts during the previous three fiscal years on properties located in the southern Wernecke Mountains of the Yukon Territory, approximately 110 kilometers northeast of Mayo. The Company holds 100% of all Yukon properties carried on its books at September 30, 2004. The minerals of interest in this region are copper, gold, silver, lead and zinc.

#### **a) Tanner**

The Company had acquired 8 claims located in the Mayo mining district pursuant to an acquisition agreement dated June 18, 2001. The vendor has retained a 2% standard Net Profits Interest in the property which may be purchased by the Company for \$600,000. The Company has staked an additional 106 claims in the area. In June of 2002 two drill holes totaling 306 meters were drilled approximately 750 meters apart. Analytical data has revealed anomalous values of precious and base metals as well as pathfinder elements considered to be indicative of Sedex style mineralization. Precious and base metal indications include values ranging from 0 to 0.15 g/t gold, 3.8 g/t silver and 1370 ppm zinc throughout select sampled intervals of up to 1 meter in the first two drill holes on the Tanner Target. The Company did not undertake a 2003 fall exploration program as initially planned due to early snow-fall in the area.

In August, 2004 the Company completed two reconnaissance soil traverses in the central and southeast portion of the property. The Company had budgeted approximately \$40,000 for this summer exploration program, however only \$9,000 was expended, allowing the budget for the CR British Columbia property to be expanded. The soil traverses will serve as an orientation and a test to see if a larger program of soil sampling and mapping is warranted. The Company was pleased with the results of the reconnaissance soil program, which confirm the presence of elevated base and precious metals in the system, and suggest Zinc and Copper values are increasing in the southeast part of the property. The exploration results will be assessed by Management before determining the extent of further exploration programs on the property.

#### **b) JRS**

The JRS claims were staked in the summer of 2001. The property consists of 25 claims covering 522 hectares. During the 2002 exploration program, three drill holes totaling 385 meters were drilled approximately 130 meters apart. The 2002 drilling positively identified a new mineralized VMS, (volcanogenic massive sulphide) style occurrence. Numerous intervals of pyrite dominated syngenetic massive sulphide that were intersected carry precious and base metals. Gold values ranged from 0 to 0.38 g/t, silver ranged from 0 to 27.6 g/t, zinc ranged from 0 to 2600 ppm and copper values ranged from 0 to 2760 ppm. Massive sulphide intervals intersected in the initial three drill holes ranged between 2 to 50 centimeters in thickness. Occurrence of intrusion-related type gold-arsenopyrite veins has also been recognized on the property to date. These veins represent an additional exploration target aside from the VMS system. In an effort to preserve cash, the Company determined that it would not carry out an exploration program on this property in fiscal 2004. It is however investigating potential partners to finance further exploration by way of an option earn-in/joint venture.

## **MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2004**

---

### **2) Mineral Properties (continued)**

#### **c) NAD**

Although the Company has not incurred significant expenditures on this property during the year, Management continues to believe that the property has promise from a grass roots perspective. Management will continue to look for a company with sufficient resources to option-in on the property.

#### **British Columbia**

##### **a) Kendall**

The Company entered into an agreement in February, 2004 to acquire a 100% interest in the Kendall property located in the Cariboo Mining District of British Columbia. The Company paid \$5,000 in cash to the vendor upon signing the agreement. After the Company's initial property evaluation, Management decided to terminate the agreement. As a result, the initial evaluation costs were written-off during the year ended September 30, 2004. The Company has no further payment requirements.

##### **b) CR Property**

The Company entered into an agreement in February, 2004 to acquire a 100% interest in the CR property located in the Ominica Mining District of British Columbia. In order to earn a 100% interest, the Company must make cash payments aggregating \$92,500, (paid \$7,500 to September 30, 2004), and issue 575,000 common shares, (issued 50,000 to September 30, 2004), over five years. The vendor will retain a 1.5% net smelter return, 1.0% of which may be purchased by the Company for \$1,000,000.

The property consists of 38 staked and continuous units totaling approximately 950 hectares. The CR property has excellent road access and a power line cutting through the western property boundary. Two priority exploration targets have been identified; the South Porphyry zone, and the North Porphyry-Breccia zone.

The Company undertook an exploration program this summer under the supervision of Dr. Shane Ebert, the Qualified Person for the project. The program included geological mapping, 412 meters of backhoe trenching, rock and soil sampling and a ground magnetic survey. The initial budget for this program had been \$30,000, however it was expanded once the initial investigation indicated that further work was warranted. As a result the actual costs incurred approximated \$77,000.

Field work to date has identified a large prospective coincident copper in soils and geophysical anomaly in previously untested areas of the property. The anomaly is interpreted to be related to a mineralized porphyry style system under shallow cover and warrants further work, including drill testing. A total of 172 rock and 510 soil samples were collected and analyzed by ALS Chemex. Trenches encountered significant mineralized intervals in the porphyry complex including 30 meters grading 0.12% copper and 0.036 % molybdenum and 18 meters grading 0.25% copper and 0.031% molybdenum in continuous representative chip sampling.

The Company is encouraged by the results of its first phase of exploration. The program has confirmed the presence of a large zone of low-grade porphyry copper-molybdenum-gold mineralization at the South porphyry zone, expanded targets at the North porphyry zone, and identified a new high priority exploration target.

## **MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2004**

---

### **3) Operating Results**

#### **Year ended September 30, 2004 to year ended September 30, 2003**

The net loss for the current year ended September 30, 2004 of \$121,000 decreased by \$320,000 from the previous year. The write-down of mineral properties in the comparative period of \$333,000 compared to the current \$16,000 write-down explains the bulk of the change. The decrease in general and administrative expenses of \$12,000 offset by a decline in mineral property proceeds received in excess of carrying costs of \$5,000 explains most of the remaining difference.

The decrease in consulting costs was the primary contributor to the decrease in general and administrative expenses. Geologists' time spent generating projects and attendance at three mining conferences in the prior year resulted in greater expensed costs. More of the geologists' time in the current year was spent on specific projects to which the consultants' billings were capitalized.

The "proceeds on disposal of mineral properties in excess of carrying costs" in the years ended September 30, 2004 and September 30, 2003 related to the sale of data and claims respectively regarding British Columbia mineral properties that had been written-off in a previous year. The write-down of mineral properties in the comparative period related to the Rusty/KLA mineral property in the Yukon and certain exploration expenses relating to unstaked properties that were not pursued. The current year's write-down related to the Kendall mineral property as discussed in (2) above.

### **4) Liquidity and Capital Resources**

The Company's working capital position at September 30, 2004 was \$176,000, (September 30, 2003 - \$316,000). The \$30,000 decrease in related party receivables from September 30, 2003 is due to the receipt in the first quarter of amounts owing from a related company that had been outstanding for a number of months. This receipt offset cash operating expenses, explaining the large decrease from the comparative year in the Statements of Cash Flows. The Company received a cash infusion of \$100,000 pursuant to the private placement discussed below under Financing. The Company expended \$141,000 on mineral property exploration and exploration advances and this was partially offset by the receipt of \$2,000 of exploration incentives offered on Yukon exploration. Barring unforeseen circumstances, current working capital will be sufficient to accommodate the fiscal 2005 operating costs, however equity financing will be required to undertake more than a limited exploration program in the 2005 fiscal year.

### **5) Exploration Expenditures**

Refer to the Schedule of Mineral Properties included in the financial statements for expenditures incurred during the year on the various properties.

### **6) Related Party Transactions**

The following non-arm's length transactions, (amounts rounded to nearest \$1,000), occurred during the year ended September 30, 2004:

- i) received or accrued \$36,000 from corporations related by virtue of common officers and directors for rent of shared office space and \$28,000 for lease operating and miscellaneous costs.
- ii) paid or accrued \$46,000 for consulting fees charged by officers and directors or their companies on a per diem basis for geological, (some of which is capitalized to mineral properties), accounting and administrative services provided.
- iii) paid or accrued to a corporation related by virtue of certain common officers and directors \$24,000 for allocated office and secretarial expenses

## **MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2004**

---

### **6) Related Party Transactions (continued)**

The amounts due to related parties on the balance sheet relate to the expenses described in 1(a)(ii) and (iii) and amounts due from related parties relate to rent and directly allocable administrative charges to related parties. The purpose of related company office and rent charges is to realize certain economies associated with sharing office space and administrative services. Related party transactions were in the normal course of operations and were measured at the "exchange amount," which is the amount of consideration established and agreed to by the related parties.

On June 23, 2004, the Company closed a private placement of 1,000,000 units at \$0.10 per unit with CDG Investments Inc., (CDG). Each unit was comprised of one common share and one half of a share purchase warrant. The transaction resulted in CDG increasing their investment in the Company from 32% - 36%. CDG is related by virtue of certain common officers and directors.

### **7) Investor Relations**

With the exception of responding to shareholder inquiries, the Company undertook minimal investor relation activities during the year ended September 30, 2004. The Company does not employ a dedicated "investor relations" individual or firm.

### **8) Financing**

During the year ended September 30, 2004, the Company undertook a private placement financing for net proceeds of \$100,000. CDG Investments Inc. subscribed to 1,000,000 units of the Company at \$0.10 per unit, with each unit comprised of one common share and one-half of a share purchase warrant. Each whole warrant may be exercised to purchase one common share at \$0.15 per share to December 31, 2004. The proceeds of the financing was earmarked to evaluate new mineral exploration projects with an emphasis on porphyry- style copper/gold targets, for grass roots exploration and for general and administrative costs. Approximately \$90,000 of this was spent on such exploration on the CR and Kendall properties in British Columbia. The remainder was applied to operations.

### **9) Outlook**

The Company's primary objective is to discover mineral resources in economic quantities capable of supporting an operating mine. As the Company does not have expertise in operating a mine, should it discover such a promising property, it would attempt to ally with a more senior mining company that might option-in on the property or purchase the property outright. During the 2005 Spring/Summer Exploration season the Company intends to conduct a prospecting program on the Tanner property with an estimated budget of \$30,000. If the Company is able to obtain equity financing, a drill program will be undertaken on the CR property in British Columbia with an estimated cost of \$150,000.

### **10) Risks**

The success of the Company's business is subject to a number of factors including, but not limited to, those risks normally encountered in the mineral exploration industry such as operating hazards, exploration uncertainty, increasing environmental regulation, competition with companies having greater resources, lack of operating cash flow, and foreign currency fluctuations. As the Company relies on the sale of its common shares to finance operations and exploration, the state of the markets will affect its ability to raise further funds. The state of the markets is dependent on investor confidence and the price of mineral resources at any point in time, among other things.

## **MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2004**

---

### **11) Critical Accounting Estimates**

The most significant accounting estimate for the Company relates to the carrying value of its mineral property assets. Mineral properties consist of exploration and mining concessions. Acquisition and leasehold costs and exploration costs are capitalized and deferred until such time as the property is put into production or the properties are disposed of either through sales or abandonments. The estimated values of all properties are assessed by management on a quarterly basis by reference to project economics, including the timing of the exploration and/or development work, the work programs and exploration results experienced by the Company and others, and the extent to which optionees have committed, or are expected to commit to, exploration on the property. When it becomes apparent that the carrying value of the property exceeds its estimated net recoverable amount based on the foregoing criteria, an impairment provision is made for the other than temporary decline in value.

### **12) New Accounting Policies**

#### Asset Retirement Obligations

Subsequent to year-end the Company adopted the new recommendation of the Canadian Institute of Chartered Accountants relating to accounting for asset retirement obligations. This recommendation replaces the previous method of accounting for site restoration costs on an accrual basis. The Company will adopt the new standard on a retroactive basis in accordance with the CICA recommendations on Accounting Changes. Under the new standard, a liability for the fair value of environmental and site restoration obligations are recorded when the obligations are incurred and the fair value can be reasonably estimated. The obligations are normally incurred at the time the related assets are brought into production. The Company's activities have been focused on exploration directed toward the discovery of mineral resources and consequently no assets have been brought into production to date. The fair value of the obligations is based on the estimated cash flow required to settle the obligations discounted using the Government of Canada Bond Rate for the applicable term adjusted for the Company's credit rating. The fair value of the obligations is recorded as a liability with the same amount recorded as an increase in capitalized costs. The amounts included in capitalized costs are depleted using the unit-of-production method. The liability is adjusted for accretion expense representing the increase in the fair value of the obligations due to the passage of time. The accretion expense is recorded as an operating expense.

### **13) Cautionary Statements**

Statements and/or financial forecasts that are unaudited and not historical are to be regarded as forward-looking statements that are subject to risks and uncertainties that can cause actual results to differ materially from those anticipated. Such risks and uncertainties include risks related to the Company's business including, but not limited to: general market and economic conditions, limited operating history, continued industry and public acceptance, regulatory compliance, potential liability claims, additional capital requirements and uncertainty of obtaining additional financing and dependence on key personnel.

## **Auditors' Report**

To the Shareholders of  
Manson Creek Resources Ltd.

We have audited the balance sheets of Manson Creek Resources Ltd. as at September 30, 2004 and 2003 and the statements of operations and deficit and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with Canadian generally accepted auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform an audit to obtain reasonable assurance whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation.

In our opinion, these financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as at September 30, 2004 and 2003 and the results of its operations and cash flows for the years then ended in accordance with Canadian generally accepted accounting principles.

Calgary, Alberta  
December 2, 2004

“Grant Thornton LLP”

Grant Thornton LLP  
Chartered Accountants

---

# Manson Creek Resources Ltd.

## Balance Sheets

September 30

2004

2003

---

<b>Assets</b>			
<b>Current</b>			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	188,697	\$ 311,056
Accounts receivable		8,747	8,408
Due from related parties (Note 6)		436	30,454
Prepays		<u>12,555</u>	<u>11,434</u>
		210,435	361,352
<b>Investments and other assets</b> (Note 3)		42,653	28,653
<b>Mineral properties and equipment</b> (Note 4)		<u>1,069,505</u>	<u>958,989</u>
	\$	<u>1,322,593</u>	\$ <u>1,348,994</u>
<b>Liabilities</b>			
<b>Current</b>			
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$	27,205	\$ 35,291
Due to related parties (Note 6)		<u>7,421</u>	<u>9,855</u>
		<u>34,626</u>	<u>45,146</u>
<b>Shareholders' Equity</b>			
<b>Capital Stock</b> (Note 5)		7,124,199	7,019,199
<b>Contributed Surplus</b> (Note 5)		91,334	91,334
<b>Deficit</b>		<u>(5,927,566)</u>	<u>(5,806,685)</u>
		<u>1,287,967</u>	<u>1,303,848</u>
	\$	<u>1,322,593</u>	\$ <u>1,348,994</u>
<b>Commitments</b> Note 8		<u>                    </u>	<u>                    </u>

On behalf of the Board

"Jean Pierre Jutras"

\_\_\_\_\_  
Jean Pierre Jutras Director

"James Devonshire"

\_\_\_\_\_  
James Devonshire Director

See accompanying notes to the financial statements.

---

# Manson Creek Resources Ltd.

## Statements of Operations and Deficit

Years Ended September 30

2004

2003

---

### Revenue

Interest and other	\$ <u>5,801</u>	\$ <u>6,799</u>
--------------------	-----------------	-----------------

### Expenses

General and administrative	82,572	94,825
Professional fees	9,885	8,993
Reporting to shareholders	8,336	8,722
Stock exchange and transfer agent fees	10,120	7,937
Depreciation	<u>2,313</u>	<u>1,867</u>

	<u>113,226</u>	<u>122,344</u>
--	----------------	----------------

<b>Loss for the year before the undernoted</b>	<b>(107,425)</b>	<b>(115,545)</b>
--	------------------	------------------

Abandonments and write-down of mineral properties	<b>(15,956)</b>	(332,648)
Mineral property proceeds in excess of carrying cost	<u>2,500</u>	<u>7,500</u>

<b>Net loss</b>	<b>(120,881)</b>	<b>(440,693)</b>
-----------------	------------------	------------------

<b>Deficit, beginning of year</b>	<b><u>(5,806,685)</u></b>	<b><u>(5,365,992)</u></b>
-----------------------------------	---------------------------	---------------------------

<b>Deficit, end of year</b>	<b>\$ <u>(5,927,566)</u></b>	<b>\$ <u>(5,806,685)</u></b>
-----------------------------	------------------------------	------------------------------

### Loss per share:

Basic and diluted	\$ <u>(0.01)</u>	\$ <u>(0.03)</u>
-------------------	------------------	------------------

### Weighted average number of shares outstanding:

Basic and diluted	<u>15,654,238</u>	<u>15,398,895</u>
-------------------	-------------------	-------------------

See accompanying notes to the financial statements.

---

## Manson Creek Resources Ltd.

### Statements of Cash Flows

Years Ended September 30

2004

2003

Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents

#### Operating activities

Interest and other income received	\$ 5,801	\$ 6,799
Cash operating expenses	<u>(92,090)</u>	<u>(133,534)</u>
	<u>(86,289)</u>	<u>(126,735)</u>

#### Financing activities

Capital stock issued for cash	100,000	-
Exploration incentives received	<u>2,223</u>	<u>134,446</u>
	<u>102,223</u>	<u>134,446</u>

#### Investing activities

Mineral property additions	(126,793)	(34,947)
Exploration deposit	(14,000)	-
Proceeds on sale of mineral properties	2,500	7,500
Proceeds on sale of investments	<u>-</u>	<u>2,656</u>
	<u>(138,293)</u>	<u>(24,791)</u>

Decrease in cash and cash equivalents (122,359) (17,080)

#### Cash and cash equivalents,

Beginning of year	<u>311,056</u>	<u>328,136</u>
End of year	\$ <u>188,697</u>	\$ <u>311,056</u>

#### Supplementary Information:

##### Interest and taxes

The Company did not expend cash on interest or taxes during the years ended September 30, 2004 and September 30, 2003.

##### Non-cash transactions

During the year ended September 30, 2004 the Company issued 50,000 common shares as an option payment for a mineral property. The transaction was valued at \$5,000 based upon the trading price of the Company's shares on the transaction date, (see note 4).

See accompanying notes to the financial statements.

---

---

# Manson Creek Resources Ltd.

## Notes to the Financial Statements

September 30, 2004

---

### 1. Nature and continuance of operations

Manson Creek Resources Ltd. is engaged in the business of mineral exploration and development in Canada. Since inception, the efforts of the Company have been devoted to the acquisition, exploration and development of mineral properties. To date the Company has not received any revenue from mining operations and is considered to be in the development stage.

Mineral properties are recognized in these financial statements in accordance with the accounting policies outlined in Note 2. Accordingly, their carrying values represent costs incurred to date, net of recoveries, abandonments and write-downs, and do not necessarily reflect present or future values. The recoverability of these amounts is dependent upon the existence of economically recoverable mineral reserves; the acquisition and maintenance of appropriate permits, licenses and rights; the ability of the Company to obtain necessary financing to complete the development of the properties, where necessary, and upon future profitable production; or, alternatively, upon the Company's ability to recover its costs through a disposition of its interests.

Continued exploration and operations of the Company are dependent on the Company's ability to complete equity or debt financings. These financial statements have been prepared under the assumption that the company will be able to realize its assets and discharge its liabilities in the normal course of business rather than through a process of forced liquidation. Accordingly they do not give effect to adjustments that would be necessary should the Company be unable to continue as a going concern and therefore be required to realize its assets and liquidate its liabilities, contingent obligations and commitments in other than the normal course of business and at amounts that differ from those reflected in these financial statements.

### 2. Summary of significant accounting policies

#### a) Use of estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates that affect the reported amounts of assets, such as resource properties (see Note 1), and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

#### b) Cash and cash equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents may include bank and brokerage deposits and term deposits with maturities equal to or less than 90 days.

#### c) Mineral properties and equipment

Costs relating to the acquisition, exploration and development of mineral properties are capitalized on an area of interest basis. These expenditures will be charged against income, through unit of production depletion, when properties are developed to the stage of commercial production. If an area of interest is abandoned, or management determines that there is a permanent and significant decline in value, the related costs are charged to operations. The Company views the carrying values of mineral property interests on a quarterly basis by reference to the project economics, including the timing of the exploration and/or development work, the work programs and exploration results experienced by the Company and others, and the extent to which optionees have committed, or are expected to commit to, exploration on the property. When it becomes apparent that the carrying value of the property exceeds its estimated net recoverable amount based on the foregoing criteria, an impairment provision is made for the other than temporary decline in value.

Where the Company's exploration commitments for an area of interest are performed under option agreements with a third party, the proceeds of any option payments under such agreements are applied to the area of interest to the extent of costs incurred. The excess, if any, is credited to operations. Option payments made by the Company are recorded as mineral property costs. Options are exercisable entirely at the discretion of the optionee and accordingly, are recorded as mineral property costs or recoveries when the payments are made or received.

---

# Manson Creek Resources Ltd.

## Notes to the Financial Statements

September 30, 2004

---

**2. Summary of significant accounting policies (continued)**

**c) Mineral properties and equipment**

Equipment is recorded at cost net of depreciation calculated on a declining balance basis at rates ranging from 20% to 30%

**d) Flow-through common shares**

Resource expenditure deductions for income tax purposes related to exploration and development activities funded by flow-through share arrangements are renounced to investors in accordance with income tax legislation. Future income tax liability is increased and capital stock is reduced by the estimated tax benefits transferred to shareholders.

**e) Joint interest operations**

Certain of the Company's exploration and development activities have been conducted jointly with others. These financial statements reflect only the Company's proportionate interest in such activities. Although the Company holds some interests in mineral properties pursuant to joint venture agreements, none of its operations are carried on through joint venture entities.

**f) Investments**

Portfolio investments are carried at cost, less a provision for declines in value that are considered to be other than temporary.

**g) Financial instruments**

The fair market values of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued liabilities approximate their carrying values as a result of the short-term nature of the instruments and/or the variable interest rate associated with the instrument. Unless otherwise noted, it is management's opinion that the Company is not exposed to significant interest, currency, or credit risks arising from these financial instruments.

**h) Reclamation costs**

The Company's activities have primarily been focused on exploration directed toward the discovery of mineral resources. When it is determined that a future reclamation cost is likely, and the amount can be reasonably estimated, the costs thereof will be accrued.

**i) Income taxes**

Income taxes are recorded using the liability method of tax allocation. Future income taxes are calculated based on temporary timing differences arising from the difference between the tax basis of an asset or liability and its carrying value using tax rates anticipated to apply in the periods when the timing differences are expected to reverse. The effect on future tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that substantive enactment or enactment occurs. To the extent that the Company does not consider it more likely than not that a future tax asset will be recovered, it provides a valuation allowance against the excess.

**j) Earnings (Loss) per share**

Basic earnings (loss) per common share is computed by dividing the net earnings (loss) by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the year. Diluted per share amounts reflect the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common shares were exercised or converted to common shares. For the years presented this calculation proved to be anti-dilutive. The treasury stock method is used to determine the dilutive effect of stock options and other dilutive instruments. Under the treasury stock method only "in-the-money" dilutive instruments impact the dilution calculations.

# Manson Creek Resources Ltd.

## Notes to the Financial Statements

September 30, 2004

### 2. Summary of significant accounting policies (continued)

#### k) Stock-Based Compensation

Effective October 1, 2002, in accordance with the recommendations of the Canadian Institute of Chartered Accountants, (CICA), the Company prospectively adopted, with no restatement or disclosure pertaining to awards granted prior to October 1, 2002, new rules for the accounting for, and disclosure of, stock-based compensation.

The recommendations of the CICA establish financial accounting and reporting standards for stock-based compensation plans as well as transactions in which an entity issues its equity instruments to acquire goods or services from non-employees. The Company has elected to follow the "fair value" method of accounting for stock-based compensation arrangements, whereby the fair value of the stock options at the date of grant is recorded as compensation cost. The fair value is determined using an option-pricing model that takes into account the exercise price and expected life of the option, the current price of the underlying stock, its expected volatility, the expected dividends on the stock, and the current risk-free interest rate for the expected life of the option. As no stock options were granted during the years ended September 30, 2004 or 2003, no compensation expense has been recorded, nor has additional disclosure pertaining to the option pricing model assumptions been provided.

#### l) Government incentives

Through its exploration in the Yukon, the Company has benefited from refundable exploration tax credits and grants. These incentives are not repayable provided that they apply to qualifying expenditures. The incentives reduce the mineral property costs to which they pertain in the year that the qualifying expenditures are incurred or when eligibility becomes apparent if this is later. These government incentives are subject to review by the relevant granting authorities, and by their nature are subject to measurement uncertainty. Adjustments, if any, resulting from such a review are recorded in the period during which the Company is reassessed.

### 3. Investments and other assets

	<u>2004</u>	<u>2003</u>
287,334 Common shares Prism Resources Inc. (market value \$23,000, 2003 – \$20,000)	\$ 22,412	\$ 22,412
Long-term prepaid expenses	6,241	6,241
Mineral exploration deposit	<u>14,000</u>	-
	<u>\$ 42,653</u>	<u>\$ 28,653</u>

### 4. Mineral properties and equipment

2004	Total	Yukon			British Columbia	
		NAD	Tanner	JRS	CR Property	Kendall
<b>Exploration and development expenditures:</b>						
<b>Balance September 30, 2003</b>	<b>\$913,475</b>	<b>\$510,144</b>	<b>\$200,680</b>	<b>\$202,651</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>
Geological consulting	34,840	-	2,718	-	27,556	4,566
Field costs	34,214	-	5,822	-	23,523	4,869
Line-cutting and trenching	13,658	-	-	-	13,658	-
Geochemical analysis	14,479	-	853	-	12,600	1,026
Mineral property write-offs	(10,461)	-	-	-	-	(10,461)
Yukon mineral exploration tax credit	(2,223)	-	(2,223)	-	-	-
<b>Balance September 30, 2004</b>	<b>997,982</b>	<b>510,144</b>	<b>207,850</b>	<b>202,651</b>	<b>77,337</b>	<b>-</b>
<b>Property acquisition costs:</b>						
Balance September 30, 2003	39,075	23,000	15,190	885	-	-
Costs incurred	27,675	-	10,000	-	12,675	5,000
Mineral property write-offs	(5,000)	-	-	-	-	(5,000)
<b>Balance September 30, 2004</b>	<b>61,750</b>	<b>23,000</b>	<b>25,190</b>	<b>885</b>	<b>12,675</b>	<b>-</b>
<b>Total mineral properties September 30, 2004</b>	<b>\$1,059,732</b>	<b>\$533,144</b>	<b>\$233,040</b>	<b>\$203,536</b>	<b>\$90,012</b>	<b>\$ -</b>
Equipment	17,856					
Accumulated depreciation	(8,083)					
<b>Total mineral properties and equipment September 30, 2004</b>	<b>\$1,069,505</b>					

# Manson Creek Resources Ltd.

## Notes to the Financial Statements

September 30, 2004

### 4. Mineral properties (continued)

2003	Total	Yukon				Unstaked Property And Other
		NAD	Rusty/ KLA	Tanner	JRS	
<b>Exploration and development expenditures:</b>						
<b>Balance September 30, 2002</b>	<b>\$1,205,419</b>	<b>\$509,119</b>	<b>\$274,721</b>	<b>\$204,191</b>	<b>\$215,352</b>	<b>\$ 2,036</b>
Geological consulting	25,375	2,207	872	9,003	410	12,883
Project field costs and miscellaneous	10,059	564	-	3,086	1,689	4,720
Exploration incentives	(43,446)	(1,746)	(11,300)	(15,600)	(14,800)	-
Abandonments and write-downs	(283,932)	-	(264,293)	-	-	(19,639)
<b>Balance September 30, 2003</b>	<b>913,475</b>	<b>510,144</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>200,680</b>	<b>202,651</b>	<b>-</b>
<b>Property acquisition costs:</b>						
Balance September 30, 2002	81,873	22,991	40,982	15,190	885	1,825
Costs incurred	5,918	9	1,165	-	-	4,744
Abandonments and write-downs	(48,716)	-	(42,147)	-	-	(6,569)
<b>Balance September 30, 2003</b>	<b>39,075</b>	<b>23,000</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>15,190</b>	<b>885</b>	<b>-</b>
<b>Total mineral properties September 30, 2003</b>	<b>\$952,550</b>	<b>\$533,144</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$215,870</b>	<b>\$203,536</b>	<b>\$ -</b>
Equipment	12,209					
Accumulated depreciation	(5,770)					
<b>Total mineral properties and equipment September 30, 2003</b>	<b>\$958,989</b>					

### British Columbia

#### a) Kendall

During the year ended September 30, 2004, the Company entered into an agreement with an unrelated individual to acquire a mineral property situated in the Cariboo Mining District of British Columbia. The Company paid \$5,000 in cash to the vendor upon signing the agreement. As the initial exploration results were not sufficiently encouraging to warrant further exploration, Management decided to terminate the agreement and the initial evaluation costs were written-off.

#### b) CR Property

During the year ended September 30, 2004, the Company entered into an agreement with an unrelated individual to acquire a mineral property situated in the Omineca Mining District of British Columbia. The agreement requires that the Company make the following cash payments and issue common shares in order to acquire the property as follows:

<u>Date</u>	<u>Cash</u>	<u>Common Shares</u>
Upon signing (paid)	\$ 2,500	-
June 30, 2004(paid / issued)	\$ 5,000	50,000
June 30, 2005	\$15,000	50,000
June 30, 2006	\$15,000	100,000
June 30, 2007	\$15,000	100,000
June 30, 2008	\$20,000	125,000
June 30, 2009	<u>\$20,000</u>	<u>150,000</u>
Total	<u>\$92,500</u>	<u>575,000</u>

---

# Manson Creek Resources Ltd.

## Notes to the Financial Statements

September 30, 2004

---

#### 4. Mineral properties

##### b) CR Property (continued)

The Company may acquire 1.0% of the vendor's retained 1.5% Net Smelter Return in the property for \$1,000,000. If at any point the Company is in default of the above-noted payment schedule, the property will revert to the vendor. The agreement can be terminated at any time by the Company without the requirement to issue any shares or make any payments specified in the agreement that pertain to the period after termination.

##### Yukon

The Company has a 100% interest in the Yukon properties of Tanner, NAD and JRS.

During the year ended September 30, 2003 management determined that exploration results from the Rusty/KLA property did not warrant further exploration and the property was written-off. Costs incurred to investigate a potential exploration property were also written-off.

#### 5. Capital stock

##### a) Authorized:

- i) an unlimited number of voting shares
- ii) an unlimited number of Class A preferred shares issuable in series
- iii) an unlimited number of Class B preferred shares issuable in series

##### b) Common shares issued:

	<u>Number of Shares</u>	<u>Stated Value</u>
Balance September 30, 2002	15,570,402	\$ 7,110,533
Escrow shares cancelled	<u>(200,000)</u>	<u>(91,334)</u>
Balance September 30, 2003	15,370,402	7,019,199
Private Placement	1,000,000	100,000
Issued for mineral property (note 4)	50,000	5,000
Balance September 30, 2004	<u>16,420,402</u>	<u>\$ 7,124,199</u>

On June 23, 2004, the company closed a private placement with CDG Investments Inc., (CDG), a company related by virtue of certain common officers and directors. CDG subscribed for 1,000,000 units at \$0.10 per unit. Each unit was comprised of one common share and one-half of a non-transferable share purchase warrant. Each full warrant may be exercised to purchase one common share at \$0.15 per share until December 24, 2005.

The escrow shares were cancelled during 2003 for no consideration. The average carrying value of the shares of \$91,334 reduced capital stock and increased contributed surplus.

##### c) Outstanding options

<u>Expiry Date</u>	<u>Number of Shares</u>		<u>Price</u>
	<u>2004</u>	<u>2003</u>	
May 29, 2007	455,000	455,000	\$ 0.11
July 19, 2006	<u>1,000,000</u>	<u>1,000,000</u>	\$ 0.10
	<u>1,455,000</u>	<u>1,455,000</u>	

---

---

# Manson Creek Resources Ltd.

## Notes to the Financial Statements

September 30, 2004

---

### 5. Capital stock

#### c) Outstanding options (continued)

The Company has an option plan, (the plan), under which up to 10% of the issued and outstanding common shares are reserved for issuance. Under the Plan, the options that have been granted expire at the earlier of five years from the grant date, the date at which the Directors determine, or 60 days from the date from which the optionee ceases to be a director, officer, employee or consultant. The exercise price of the options granted under the Plan will not be less than the market price of the common shares, defined as the weighted average trading price per share for the last five trading days before the grant date. All of the above options vested immediately upon granting.

#### d) Outstanding warrants

Pursuant to the private placement described in 5(b), 500,000 warrants are outstanding with an exercise price of \$0.15 per share and an expiry of December 24, 2005.

### 6. Related party transactions

CDG Investments Inc., (CDG), a Company related by virtue of certain common officers and directors; officers of the Company, and corporations in which certain of the Company's officers and directors are shareholders, provided services, billing the amounts presented below for the respective fiscal years.

	<u>2004</u>	<u>2003</u>
Geological	\$ 22,000	\$ 28,000
Direct administrative	<u>48,000</u>	<u>58,000</u>
	<u>\$ 70,000</u>	<u>\$ 86,000</u>

Related party transactions were in the normal course of operations and were measured at the exchange amount, being the amount of consideration established and agreed to by the related parties. The related party payables are due to CDG with respect to administrative charges.

The Company sublet office space to companies related by virtue of certain common officers and directors. The aggregate base rent and operating costs charged to the Companies was \$64,000 during the year, (2003-\$63,000). The related party receivables pertain to direct general and administrative costs or rent billed to related parties.

### 7. Income taxes

a) Following is a reconciliation of income taxes calculated at statutory rates to the actual income taxes recorded in the accounts:

	<u>2004</u>	<u>2003</u>
Computed expected tax recovery at a combined Provincial and Federal rate of 34% (2003 – 38%)	\$ (41,000)	\$ (167,000)
Effect on income taxes resulting from:		
Non-recognition of losses and future tax benefits for financial statement purposes	<u>41,000</u>	<u>167,000</u>
Future income tax recovery	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>

---

# Manson Creek Resources Ltd.

## Notes to the Financial Statements

September 30, 2004

---

### 7. Income taxes (continued)

The net future income tax asset at September 30, 2004 and 2003 is comprised of:

	<u>2004</u> ( at 34%)	<u>2003</u> (at 38%)
Mineral properties and equipment with income tax values exceeding book values	\$ 887,000	986,000
Investments with income tax values exceeding book values	11,000	12,000
Non-capital losses carried forward	<u>159,000</u>	<u>138,000</u>
Future income tax asset before valuation allowance	1,057,000	1,136,000
Valuation allowance	<u>(1,057,000)</u>	<u>(1,136,000)</u>
Future income tax asset	\$ -	\$ -

- b) The Company has incurred losses for income tax purposes of approximately \$467,000 the related future benefit of which has not been recognized in the financial statements. Unless sufficient taxable income is earned in future years these losses will expire as follows:

Expiry Year	Amount
2005	\$ 6,000
2006	23,000
2007	55,000
2008	58,000
2009	109,000
2010	112,000
2011	<u>104,000</u>
	<u>\$467,000</u>

- c) The Company has the following approximate amounts available that may be deducted, at the annual rates indicated, in determining taxable income of future years.

	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Rate</u>
Canadian exploration expense	\$ 2,796,000	100%
Canadian development expense	378,000	30%
Foreign exploration and development expense	444,000	10%
Undepreciated capital cost	<u>62,000</u>	20-30%
	\$ <u>3,680,000</u>	

---

# Manson Creek Resources Ltd.

## Notes to the Financial Statements

September 30, 2004

---

### 8. Commitments

Effective January 1, 2002, the Company entered into a five-year contract to lease office space. Concurrent with entering into the lease agreement, the Company entered into sublease agreements with three companies related by virtue of certain common officers and directors. Pursuant to the sublease agreements, as amended, the sublessors will, in aggregate, be committed to pay 70% of base rent and lease operating costs for the duration of the office lease. The following summarizes the Company's base rent commitments, without offset for sublessor commitments, for the ensuing five fiscal years:

2005	\$48,600
2006	\$48,600
2007	\$12,200
2008	\$ -
2009	\$ -

Refer also to note 4 regarding mineral property commitments.

## **Corporate Information**

### **Head Office:**

Suite 500, 926-5<sup>th</sup> Avenue S.W.  
Calgary, Alberta, T2P 0N7  
Ph: 403-233-0464  
Fax: 403-266-2606

### **Directors:**

Shane Ebert  
James Devonshire  
Jean Pierre Jutras  
Pauline Woodrow  
Regan Chernish

### **Officers:**

Regan Chernish, *President*  
Jean Pierre Jutras, *Vice-President*  
Shari Difley, *Chief Financial Officer*  
Barbara O'Neill, *Secretary*

### **Audit Committee:**

Shane Ebert  
James Devonshire  
Pauline Woodrow

### **Website:**

[www.manson.ca](http://www.manson.ca)

### **Email:**

[inquiries@manson.ca](mailto:inquiries@manson.ca)

### **Legal Counsel:**

TingleMerrett LLP  
1250 Standard Life Building  
639-5<sup>th</sup> Avenue S.W.  
Calgary, Alberta, T2P 0M9

### **Bank:**

HSBC Bank of Canada  
333-5<sup>th</sup> Avenue S.W.  
Calgary, Alberta, T2P 3B6

### **Transfer Agent & Registrar:**

CIBC Mellon Trust Company  
600, 333-7<sup>th</sup> Avenue S.W.  
Calgary, Alberta, T2P 2Z1  
T2P 2Z1

### **Listed:**

TSX Venture Exchange

### **Symbol:**

MCK